



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,963	06/29/2001	Patrick McGill	41PR-7875	2593
23465 75	590 03/18/2003			
JOHN S. BEU		_	EXAMINER	
	ONG TEASDALE, LL POLITAN SQUARE	P	KIM, PAUL D	
SUITE 2600 ST LOUIS, MO 63102-2740			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		'	3729	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003	, 9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

K.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/681,963 MCGILL ET AL. **Advisory Action** Examiner Art Unit Paul D Kim 3729

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence a

THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper refinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (NOE) in compliance with 57 Of N 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	r.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensifee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensifee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sior
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying th issues for appeal; and/or	e
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendmen canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ıt
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	
	_
PETERVO OURSENICORY PATENT EVAMINES	
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants argue that the prior art of ercord fails to disclose the claimed invention. However, applicants amend the claimed invention raises new issues that would require further consideration and search..